

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/423,035	01/13/2000	GERALD F. JOYCE	TSRI463.4	6257
7590 02/10/2004			EXAMINER	
	S RESEARCH INSTIT	LACOURCIERE, KAREN A		
MAIL DROP T		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LA JOLLA, CA	A 92037		1635	\sim

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		C.C
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/423,035	JOYCE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karen A. Lacourciere	1635
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Not</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 23 and 24 is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 and 25-46 are subject to restriction. Application Papers	drawn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the original than the correction of the original than the origina	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Second is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR⋅1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

6) Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of SEQ ID NO: 121 and Formula II, SEQ ID NO: 122 in Paper No. 24 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the Examiner should have only required an election of one catalytic DNA sequence 120, 121 and 122, and not between SEQ ID NO: 102-119, which are target sequences and further that the restricted inventions are linked by the common technical feature, that is first and second substrate binding sequences that flank a common core region, Formula I and Formula II. Applicant further argues that a lack of unity was not imposed in the International Search or Preliminary Examination and, therefore, should not be imposed herein.

Applicant's traversal has clarified the nature of the multiple sequences claimed in this national stage filing. The lack of unity is maintained, however, it is acknowledged that the Examiner did mischaracterize the catalytic DNA molecules, as claimed, and therefore, the requirement to elect a single catalytic DNA molecule is clarified, as follows.

Although this lack of unity was not imposed in the International Search or Preliminary Examination, the Lack of Unity is proper. The search burden imposed on the Office for sequence searching has become increasingly more burdensome since the time of the International Search and Preliminary Examination and, therefore, it is necessary to impose the Lack of Unity at the National Stage filing, particularly since it is necessary to identify prior art that qualifies in a rejection in the National Stage filing, that

Art Unit: 1635

may not have qualified as prior art in the International Search or Preliminary Examination.

Claims 23 and 24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 15.

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

The claims are specifically directed to catalytic DNA molecules with two different stem structural motifs, Formula I and Formula II (SEQ ID NO: 122), and different sequence structures within those formulas, SEQ ID NO:120 or 121 and are further drawn to distinct specific catalytic DNA molecules which target different substrate RNAs (EG HIV-1, FIV, IGF-R, E100 ligase) and different regions within an RNA (eg. regions encoding different HIV proteins) and different regions within the same RNA, and inhibit the expression of different proteins or cleave a target protein to a different degree. These distinct and specific substrate RNAs are specified within SEQ ID NO: 102-119. Catalytic DNA molecules targeting each of these different substrate sequences, 102-109, each target a different substrate mRNA, different regions within target these mRNA and inhibit the expression of different proteins or cleave a target protein to a different degree.

This international searching authority considers that the international application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention (Rules 13.1, 13.2, and 13.3) for the reasons indicated below:

According to the guidelines in Section (f)(i)(a) of Annex B of the PCT Administrative Instructions, the special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 shall be considered to be met when all the alternatives of a Markush-group are of similar nature.

Application/Control Number: 09/423,035

Art Unit: 1635

For chemical alternatives, such as the claimed antisense sequences, the Markush group shall be regarded as being of similar nature when

(A) all alternatives have a common property or activity and

(B)(1) a common structure is present, i.e, a significant structure is shared by all of the alternatives or

(B)(2) in cases where the common structure cannot be the unifying criteria, all alternatives belong to an art recognized class of compounds in the art to which the invention pertains.

The instant catalytic DNA sequences, SEQ ID NO:102-121, and the formulas I and II (SEQ ID NO:122) are considered to be each separate inventions for the following reasons:

The sequences do not meet the criteria of (A), common property or activity and (B)(1) common structure, ie. significant structure shared by all the alternatives or (B)(2), art recognized class of compounds. Each sequence targets a different RNAs (EG HIV-1, FIV, IGF-R, E100 ligase) different regions within an RNA (eg. regions encoding different HIV proteins) and different regions within the same RNA. Each of the claimed catalytic DNAs have a different activity in that they cleave a different RNA and regulate the expression of different proteins. Even catalytic DNAs targeting the same gene have a different activity in that they cleave at a different location within the RNA and have different levels of cleavage activity, and regulate expression of a protein to a different extent. Each member of the class cannot be substituted, one for the other, with the expectation that the same intended result would be achieved.

Further, although the catalytic DNAs have small regions in common (ie. stem region) the sequences do not meet the criteria of (B)(1), as they do not share, one with another, a significant common core structure, for example, the major portion of sequences with the catalytic DNA are not common between the claimed molecules and the region which determines the activity of the catalytic DNA, ie. the substrate binding region, is not common between the claimed DNA molecules. Accordingly, unity of invention between the catalytic DNA sequences is lacking and each sequence claimed is considered to constitute a special technical feature.

Further, a preliminary search of the sequence elected in Paper No. 24, demonstrates that there is a significant amount of prior art that discloses catalytic DNA molecules comprising the elected stem formulas, SEQ ID NO:121 and 122 and, therefore, this formula, set forth by the Inventor as the special technical feature, is not a

Art Unit: 1635

novel contribution to the art and, therefore, does not constitute a special technical feature. For example, US Paten No. 6,361,941.

Therefore, Applicant must elect a single catalytic DNA molecule, SEQ ID NO:120 or 121, and one corresponding stem formula, I or II (SEQ ID NO:122) and one corresponding substrate sequences (one of SEQ ID NO:102-119), targeted by the elected catalytic DNA molecule in response to this Official action.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen A. Lacourciere whose telephone number is (571) 272-0759. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/423,035

Art Unit: 1635

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

supervisor, John L. LeGuyader can be reached on (571) 272-0760. The fax phone

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karen A. Lacourciere February 9, 2004

Page 6